



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/729,362	12/05/2000	Itzhak Shoher	SHO-2000-4	3707
<div>7590 06/21/2007</div> <div>EUGENE LIEBERSTEIN 2151 LONG RIDGE ROAD STAMFORD, CT 06903</div>				
<div>EXAMINER</div> <div>RIMELL, SAMUEL G</div>				
<div>ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER</div> <div>2164</div>				
<div>MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE</div> <div>06/21/2007 PAPER</div>				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/729,362

Applicant(s)

SHOHER, ITZHAK

Examiner

Sam Rimell

Art Unit

2164

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 April 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 6-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 6-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.


SAM RIMELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2164

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 6: Claim 6 has been amended to recite that the second location includes a keyboard processor unit but is “absent a computer”. The negative limitation of “absent a computer” at the second location is new matter. Claim 6 states that the second location includes a keyboard processor, ASCII encoder and decoder. As described in applicant’s specification at page 3, last paragraph, these structures perform the function of converting the ASCII data to a composite video signal or transmit the ASCII data. In either case, the data input from the computer undergoes an encoding, decoding and processing, thus resulting in data transformation which is the fundamental characteristic of a computer. Accordingly, claiming “absent a computer” at the second location when the original disclosure requires the fundamental computer function of data transformation at that location means that this newly added limitation is new matter.

Claim 7: Depends on claim 6.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6: Claim 6 has been amended to recite that the second location includes a keyboard processor unit but is "absent a computer". Given the presence of a "keyboard processor" "encoder" and "decoder" which perform data transformation functions of a computer, it is contradictory to state that the second location is "absent a computer".

Claim 7: Depends on claim 6.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howard et al. (U.S. Patent 6,097,374) in view of Thomson et al. (U.S. Patent 6,675,019).

Claim 8: Reference is made to FIG. 2 of Howard et al. The system of FIG. 2 is essentially a base station that includes a wireless keyboard and a video monitor (14). The base station can communicate with a first remote computer (not shown in FIGS. 1-2) via a modem (59---col. 11, lines 1-7). The first computer is at a first remote location. The base station, with its wireless keyboard and video monitor are at the second location.

Art Unit: 2164

The keyboard that is used at the base station (second location) is an optical wireless keyboard that forms the keyboard processing unit. The A/D converter (25) is an ASCII encoder since it encodes ASCII standard characters typed into the keyboard into digital data. The D/A converter (23) is a decoder since it decodes digital signals back into analog form. The wireless communicating device is the RF transmission system (33, 34, 36, 37, 28—also col. 9, lines 36-37) which is part of the optical keyboard. A modem (59—col. 11, lines 1-7) exists to communicate with the first computer.

Howard differs in that the base station does not incorporate a browser so that items displayed on the video monitor (14) are controlled by a browser. Howard also does not disclose the communications network as being the Internet. However, Examiner takes Official Notice that both browsers and the Internet itself were well and in worldwide use at the time of applicant's invention. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the base station of FIG. 2 of Howard to include browser software so as to permit selective display of data on the video monitor (14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to deploy the Internet as the communication medium for communicating between the first computer and the base station since the Internet is both economical to use and widely available.

Howard et al. also differs in that it does disclose a standard cellular telephone for data transmission and reception. However, col. 5, lines 42-45 and FIG. 4 teach the concept of attaching a keyboard device to a cellular telephone to transmit and receive data from a remote location. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Howard to substitute the transmitter/receiver mechanism at the keyboard with a cellular telephone or substitute the transmitter/receiver at both the keyboard and base station with a cellular telephone.

Art Unit: 2164

Such an arrangement would be permit the keyboard of Howard to communicate with an off the shelf cellular telephone rather than require a custom built and wired transceiver system. A cellular phone also has the advantage of being able to transmit its signal over unlimited distances due to its usage of a telephone network for data transmission.

Claim 9: Howard illustrates a video monitor (14) as part of the base station. No patentable distinction is found to exist between a "TV" and a "video monitor" since a television is in fact a video monitor. Howard further discloses an RF transmitter (34, also see col. 9, lines 36-37) and modulator (33) in the keyboard processor.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2164

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at telephone number (571) 272-4084.



Sam Rimell
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2164